UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,315	07/13/2005	Christian Quellet	102790-195 (30059 US)	2667
27389 PARFOMAK, A	7590 02/04/201 ANDREW N.	EXAMINER		
NORRIS MCL	AUGHLIN & MARCU	GODENSCHWAGER, PETER F		
875 THIRD AVE, 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
			10/542,315	QUELLET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
			PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER	1796				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIDER OF THE MASSIDE	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(unication. tutory period will will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ause the application to become ABANDONE	1. hely filed the mailing date of this c ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 05 Oct	ober 2009					
•	-		ction is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims		,					
-		a in the ann	ligation					
	Claim(s) <u>1,7-13 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	الم						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1,7-13 and 17</u> is/are rejecte	a.						
	Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.	·· · · · · ·						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or e	election requirement.					
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗆 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accep	ted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment			o □	(DTO 443)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	T∩-048)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-940)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's reply filed October 5, 2009 has been fully considered. Claims 1, 7-13, and 17 are amended, and claims 1, 7-13, and 17 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 9 recites the limitation "103 Pa". "103 Pa" appears to be a typo of "10³ Pa" as supported by the previous versions of claim 9, and claim 9 does not indicate by way of markups that this limitation has been amended. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7-13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McManus et al. (Intl. Pub. No. WO 01/78657).

Regarding Claim 1: McManus et al. teaches a fragrance composition comprising a fragrance (Pg. 19, Ln 29), a liquid crystal forming material (Pg. 1, Lns. 9-10) containing a fatty alcohol having 22 carbon atoms (behenyl alcohol), and a thickening agent (reinforcing material)

Art Unit: 1796

(Pg. 12, Lns. 19-25, Pg. 15, Lns. 5-10). McManus et al. further teaches that the active ingredients (i.e. fragrance/perfume component) may form part of the ultimately formed liquid crystal/gel network (LCGN) and may be added to the composition before the LCGN is formed (Pg. 4, Lns. 25-27 and Pg. 20, Lns. 24-27). As such, a fragrance material that is part of a LCGN network and added/mixed with the LCGN material before forming the LCGN would necessarily be encapsulated by the LCGN (fully surrounded by the LCGN). McManus et al. further teaches the reinforcing (thickening) agent is calcium alginate (an alginate). While McManus et al. does not teach the alginate is admixed with amphiphilic modified starches or dextrins having a 1% solution viscosity lower than 50 mPas, such modified starches or dextrins are recited as optional.

The Examiner recognizes that all the claimed physical properties are not positively recited, namely that the reinforcing (thickening) material causes the encapsulated fragrance composition to exhibit a plateau region of the store elastic modulus higher than 10³ Pascal at 25 °C. However, McManus et al. teaches all the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions, therefore, the claimed physical properties would inherently be achieved by the composition as claimed. If it is the applicant's position that this would not be the case: (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicant's position; and (2) it would be the Examiner's position that the application contains inadequate disclosure that there is no teaching as to how to obtain the claimed properties with only the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions.

Regarding Claims 7 and 17: McManus et al. further teaches the liquid crystal-forming material comprising, in addition to a fatty alcohol having 22 carbon atoms (behenyl alcohol), a

Art Unit: 1796

non-ionic co-emulsifier (surfactant) such as ethoxylated fatty esters (ethoxylated fatty alcohols) of 10 to 22 carbon atoms (Pg. 10, Lns 10-13 and Pg. 12, Lns. 29-30).

Regarding Claim 8: McManus et al. further teaches that the composition is a emulsion (dispersion) where the liquid crystal material is in the form of particles (vesicles) (Pg. 3, Ln. 32-Pg. 4, Ln.4).

Regarding Claim 9: The Examiner recognizes that all the claimed physical properties are not positively recited, namely that the fragrance composition exhibits a plateau region of the store elastic modulus higher than 10³ Pascal at 25 °C. However, McManus et al. teaches all the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions, therefore, the claimed physical properties would inherently be achieved by the composition as claimed. If it is the applicant's position that this would not be the case: (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicant's position; and (2) it would be the Examiner's position that the application contains inadequate disclosure that there is no teaching as to how to obtain the claimed properties with only the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions.

Regarding Claim 10: The Examiner recognizes that all the claimed physical properties are not positively recited, namely that the composition has a liquid crystalline phase with a periodicity length of between 30 and 120 Angstroms. However, McManus et al. teaches all the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions, therefore, the claimed physical properties would inherently be achieved by the composition as claimed. If it is the applicant's position that this would not be the case: (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicant's position; and (2) it would be the Examiner's position that the application contains

Art Unit: 1796

inadequate disclosure that there is no teaching as to how to obtain the claimed properties with only the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions.

Regarding Claim 11: The Examiner recognizes that all the claimed physical properties are not positively recited, namely that the composition has at least one melting transition at a temperature higher than 50 °C. However, McManus et al. teaches all the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions, therefore, the claimed physical properties would inherently be achieved by the composition as claimed. If it is the applicant's position that this would not be the case: (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicant's position; and (2) it would be the Examiner's position that the application contains inadequate disclosure that there is no teaching as to how to obtain the claimed properties with only the claimed ingredients, process steps, and process conditions.

Regarding Claims 12 and 13: McManus et al. further teaches the composition as a skin moisturizing composition, (Pg. 1, Lns. 5-12) a personal care product commonly found in the house (household product).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7-13, and 17 have been considered and sufficiently responded to in the new ground(s) of rejection above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER whose telephone number is (571)270-3302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on (571) 272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,315 Page 7

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Eashoo/ /P. F. G./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Examiner, Art Unit 1796